

- 1. Presumably it is a sign of the intellectual vigor of HEP that we have more good ideas than we have resources to pursue them. How do we discuss prioritization in a way that avoids trashing other people's good ideas?
- 2. Should we be trying to weave a single unified story for the physics opportunities of HEP, with hooks for our major experimental and theoretical ambitions? If so, who is going to do this?
- 3. There seems to be increasing identification of labs (U.S. and abroad) with particular frontiers, raising the specter of proxy wars as occurred in the old days between electron and proton communities. To what extent is this inevitable, overblown, or to be resisted?
- 4. U.S. HEP is engaging in various kinds of partnerships to leverage resources: international, inter-field (especially astrophysics and nuclear physics), and technological (accelerator, detector, and computing R&D with applications outside HEP). All of these have a tendency to further isolate elements of the HEP community from each other. How to deal with this?
- 5. Will we still be talking about the three frontiers 20 years from now? What alternate framework could replace this?



**Complex Story (needs all its parts)– Self-competition (tech leaps) – Physics Connections
(example of such complex story with struggles f-g-a-a, see economist 12/1/2012)**